

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



D. Box 1450 exandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO	.   F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/819,074	09/819,074 06/05/2001		Denwood F. Ross III	VTN-423	3421
23377	7590	12/24/2003	•	EXAMINER	
		SHBURN LLP	HANNAHER, CONSTANTINE		
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR 1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2878	

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/819,074	ROSS ET AL.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Constantine Hannaher	2878					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply criainally set in	the final rejection.  EFINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  (36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action: or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 31 July 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) \( \square\) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet							
<del></del> -		Constantine Hannaher					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/819,074

Application No.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): of claims under 102(e) and 103(a) in view of Duggan et al. (US006124594A).

Continuation of 10. Other: Claim 1 is considered to recite at least two species which the Office has held in related applications to be distinct but between which it has not required election, that is, a Markush-type generic claim (MPEP 803.02). On that basis, applicant's argument in view of MPEP 715.02 is accepted. The declaration filed on 31 July 2003 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Duggan et al. (US006124594A) reference.